

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,450	04/14/2005	Yasuhiro Shigeyama	2005_0650A	7001	
***	7590 04/02/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER			
2033 K STREET N. W.			WAGGONER, TIMOTHY R		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	•	3651		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	PATE	. 04/02/2007	. PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

-		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/531,4	150	SHIGEYAMA ET	SHIGEYAMA ET AL.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Timothy	R. Waggoner	3651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. ory period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICA vent, however, may a rep will expire SIX (6) MONTI polication to become ABA	ATION. If you be timely filed If strom the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 14 April 2005					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	, — , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>6 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	·			•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do		•	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have be	en received in Ap	plication No			
	3. Copies of the certified cop			eceived in this National	l Stage		
	application from the Internationa	•	, ,,				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the cer	tified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachmen			∧ □ 1-1 : -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/14/2005, 06/21/2005</u> .		6)	·	0		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3,4,7,8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claim 3 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the drawings filed 04/14/2005. In that paper, applicant has shown that the doors move closer to one another while opening and move farther apart when closing, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because the claim relates an opposite relationship.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen USPN 3,870,135.

Allen discloses a dispenser comprising:

"a plurality of drugs stacked in a vertical direction ... pushed out in a horizontal direction to dispense it" (24 figure 4). "door is provided on the front surface ... aligning means is provided on the inner surface of the door" (21 figure 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen USPN 3,870,135 in view of Andrews et al USPN 3,367,730.

Allen discloses a dispenser comprising the dispenser as claimed in claim 1.

Allen does not disclose an inner and outer door wherein the inner door constitutes the aligning means.

Andrews teaches an outer and inner door wherein the inner door constitutes an aligning means.

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the door of Andrews to use a two-door construction because it provides two levels of access to the dispenser.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen/Andrews as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Mothwurf USPN 6,578,735.

Allen/Andrews discloses the dispenser as claimed in claim 2.

Allen/Andrews does not disclose a sensor for monitoring the closed position of the door and halting dispensing operation till the door is closed.

Mothwurf teaches waiting to sense the door being closed before dispensing, ie. "must first detect the closing of the door to enable actuation of the ticket dispenser".

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the controls of Allen/Andrews to wait for a detection of a door closure sensor before engaging operation because it prevents tampering of supply while dispensing.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Doors which slide vertically to engage a locking means in the closed position are know in the art but do not teach locking in an open position as well, therefor "the door is possible to slide in a vertical direction and is adapted to slide downward to be locked at the predetermined opened position and closed position" in combination with the remaining claim language is found to be allowable.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPNs 1,424150, 5,143,430 and 4,514,021.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,450 Page 5

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy R. Waggoner whose telephone number is (571) 272-8204. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8am-2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TRW

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER